

Balatsky and Salkola Reply: In their Comment, Aristov and Yashenkin [1] claim that we [2] have calculated the impurity-induced wave function incorrectly and hence missed a power-law term that decays as $1/r$ to all directions. On the contrary to their claim, we have explicitly noted the presence of this term in the impurity wave function as is evident from the discussion following Eq. (1) in [2]. Moreover, we have explored the spatial dependence of the impurity state in [3] where all the terms were kept (see also Ref. [4]). That there is a $1/r$ term to all directions is a simple observation which follows, for instance, from the calculation with the linearized quasiparticle spectrum near the nodes. Nonetheless, we decided to neglect it in our subsequent discussion of localization for the following reasons.

First, if we take into account the $1/r$ decay of the wave function for all directions, the impurity state is not normalizable. The fact that the single-particle states are already extended makes the whole issue of localization more subtle. However, we did not address this question, because the hybridization of impurity states with the quasiparticle continuum regularizes the problem naturally by introducing a cut-off at large distances.

Second, for $\Delta_0 \ll E_F$, the numerical solution of the impurity state clearly shows the strong cross-shaped anisotropy. Indeed, with good approximation the impurity wave function is concentrated in the cross-shaped tails as shown in Fig. 2 of Ref. [3] or in Fig. 8 of Ref. [4].

Third, at nonzero impurity concentrations, the lifetime effects cut off the power-law behavior at finite lengths. As an illustration, consider a d -wave superconductor close to half filling so that the Fermi surface is square. Let $\mathbf{n}$ be the normal to the two sheets of the Fermi surface which are most nearly normal to $\mathbf{r}$, and define $r_\perp = |\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{r}|$ and $r_\parallel = |(\mathbf{e}_3 \times \mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{r}|$; by definition, $r_\perp \geq r_\parallel$. Then

$$G_3(\mathbf{r}, \omega \rightarrow 0) \propto \frac{(r_\perp/\xi_\perp) \sin k_{F\perp} r_\perp}{\sqrt{(r_\perp/\xi_\perp)^2 + (r_\parallel/a)^2}} K_1 \left(\sqrt{(r_\perp/\lambda_\perp)^2 + (r_\parallel/\lambda_\parallel)^2} \right), \quad (1)$$

where K_1 is the modified Bessel function of the first order, $\xi_\perp = a(W/\Delta_0)$ is the coherence length, $\lambda_\perp = \xi_\perp(\Delta_0/\gamma)$ and $\lambda_\parallel = a(\Delta_0/\gamma)$ are the cut-off length scales. Here, γ is the inverse life time, W is the half bandwidth, and a is the lattice spacing. Note that, for $\Delta_0 \ll W$, the anisotropy of the impurity state is fully developed because $\xi_\perp \gg a$. Furthermore, the decay rate along the nodal directions is much slower than in the other directions: $\lambda_\perp \gg \lambda_\parallel$. For $r_\perp < \lambda_\perp$ and $r_\parallel < \lambda_\parallel$, we obtain the usual power-law behavior and, for $r_\perp > \lambda_\perp$ or $r_\parallel > \lambda_\parallel$, the impurity state is exponentially small to all directions due to the factors $e^{-r_\perp/\lambda_\perp}$ and $e^{-r_\parallel/\lambda_\parallel}$. Thus, we may conclude that the power-law decay for all but the nodal directions is less important. As a consequence, the original problem of long-range hoppings with the exponential

cut-off is mapped in the limit of a dilute concentration of unitary impurities onto an effective tight-binding model with the hopping range $\lambda_\perp \gg \xi_\perp$. In this model, weak localization leads to an extremely long localization length compared to the bare one in the absence of the impurity-induced quasiparticle states.

Finally, Aristov and Yashenkin argue that all the physical consequences of our picture of highly anisotropic impurity states “appear to be incorrect”. Nevertheless, they arrive at the conclusion similar of [2] regarding the absence of localization. It is clear that, along the directions $r_\perp \sim r_\parallel$, the $(\Delta_0/W)(a/r_\parallel)$ term will be unimportant at small values of Δ_0/W . In over-doped high-temperature superconductors, this ratio is small, whereas in the optimally doped regime it is on the order of 0.2.

We conclude by noting that long-range hopping interactions require a dilute concentration of unitary impurities. At high impurity concentrations, the hopping interactions are of short range and less anisotropic. There are two sources of anisotropy of the impurity state: one is the anisotropy of the energy gap and another is the anisotropy of the Fermi velocity, which can become important close to half filling and at a high impurity concentration.

We would like to thank G.E.Volovik and X.G.Wen for earlier discussions.

A.V.Balatsky¹ and M.I.Salkola²

February 1, 2008

¹Theoretical Division
Los Alamos National Laboratory
Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545

²Department of Physics
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

-
- [1] D.N. Aristov and A.G. Yashenkin, Comment on “Impurity states and the absence of quasiparticle localization in disordered d -wave superconductors”, preceding Comment.
 - [2] A.V. Balatsky and M.I. Salkola, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 2386 (1996).
 - [3] M.I. Salkola, A.V. Balatsky, and D.J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 1841 (1996).
 - [4] M.I. Salkola, A.V. Balatsky, and J.R. Schrieffer, Phys. Rev. B **55**, May 1st (1997).